



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,630	09/05/2003	David J. Parins	1001.1674101	8129
28075	7590	01/15/2009	EXAMINER	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420				HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN
3736		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
01/15/2009		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
				PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,630	PARINS, DAVID J.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	3736	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final

(1) JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA. (3) _____.

(2) J. Scot Wickhem. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2009

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Provisional Obviousness Type Double Patenting with App 10/656,418

Claims discussed:

1-26, 28, 33-46

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3736

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant and Examiner discussed the claim amendments filed 12/23/2008 after the mailing of the final rejection mailed 11/10/2008. The Examiner indicated the proposed amendments appeared to place the application in condition for allowance.

However, the Examiner indicated it appeared prosecution would be re-opened and a provisional obviousness type double patenting rejection would be issued to reject all of the pending claims in the instant application with co-pending and commonly owned application 10/656,418. Applicant indicated the intent to file Terminal Disclaimers in both applications. The examiner indicated that upon cursory review the terminal disclaimer would appear to place the instant application in condition for allowance.